

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 ESTATE OF BURKHARD
11 KETSCHAU, et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 PROGRESSIVE DIRECT
15 INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.


CASE NO. C23-1676JLR

ORDER

16 Before the court is Plaintiffs Ryan Ketschau, Ruby Ketschau, and the Estate of
17 Burkhard Ketschau's (together, "Plaintiffs") renewed *ex parte* motion for leave to file an
18 amended complaint. (Mot. (Dkt. # 12).) "The court should freely give leave when
19 justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Here, Plaintiffs seek the court's leave to
20 file an amended complaint that (1) corrects the amount of damages sought, (2) reflects
21 the court's previous ruling that only Mr. Ketschau may proceed *pro se* on behalf of the
22 Estate of Burkhard Ketschau (*see* 12/21/23 Order (Dkt. # 11) at 2), and (3) makes other

1 clerical corrections. (*See* Mot. at 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 28, 30.) The court has reviewed the
2 motion, the proposed complaint, the relevant portions of the record, and the governing
3 law. Being fully advised, the court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion (Dkt. # 12). Plaintiffs
4 shall file their amended complaint no later than **January 23, 2024**.

5 Dated this 9th day of January, 2024.

6 

7 JAMES L. ROBERT
United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22